

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,824	09/04/2003	Harry E. Smith	RAIL	5171
20441	7590 09/27/2004		EXAM	INER
DANIEL A SULLIVAN JR 825 FIFTH AVE, STE. 214 NEW KENSINGTON, PA 15068		HARTMAN	N, GARY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	-
			DATE MAILED: 00/27/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/654,824	SMITH, HARRY E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Hartmann	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction.	withdrawn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to be n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	/ the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
oss and analysis detailed office action to	or a not or the certified copies flot f	eogiveu.
Attachment(s)	"□	(DTO 110)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	.948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 10/654,824

Art Unit: 3671

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, 11 and 13, drawn to a guardrail, classified in class 256, subclass 13.1.
- II. Claim 10, drawn to a method of using a guardrail, classified in class 404, subclass72.
- III. Claim 12, drawn to a method of using a guardrail, classified in class 404, subclass73.
- IV. Claim 14, drawn to a method of using a guardrail, classified in class 404, subclass9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used without practicing any of the method steps.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used without practicing any of the method steps.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used without practicing any of the method steps.

Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention III does not require the scanning step. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method of using a guardrail unrelated to voice input.

Inventions IV and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention IV does not require the scanning. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method of using a guardrail which includes wires, for example.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,824

Art Unit: 3671

Inventions IV and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention IV does not require the step of listening to a voice. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method of using a guardrail which includes wires, for example.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

gh